



<b>Form PTO-1449 (Modified)</b>  <b>LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT</b>  (Use several sheets if necessary)	<b>Atty Docket No.</b>	<b>Serial No.</b>
	<b>AVERP3302USB</b>	<b>10/606,461</b>
	<b>Applicant:</b>	
	<b>Kevin O. Henderson</b>	
	<b>Filing Date</b>	<b>Group</b>
	<b>June 26, 2003</b>	<b>1772</b>

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS (Cont.)

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-class	Filing Date if Appropriate
	4,808,561	February 28, 1989	Welborn, Jr	502	104	
	4,833,024	May 23, 1989	Mueller	428	349	
	4,865,908	September 12, 1989	Liu et al	428	248	
	4,880,422	November 14, 1989	McBride	604	389	
	4,937,299	June 26, 1990	Ewan et al	526	119	
	4,988,465	January 29, 1991	Stanley et al Lushy et al	428	35	
	5,028,480	July 2, 1991	Dean	428	314.4	
	5,049,605	September 17, 1991	Rakers	524	108	
	5,100,728	March 31, 1992	Plamthottam et al	428	345	
	5,151,309	September 29, 1992	Dollinger	428	40	
	5,186,782	February 16, 1993	Freedman	156	244.11	
	5,206,075	April 27, 1993	Hodgson Jr.	428	216	
	5,272,236	December 21, 1993	Lai et al	526	348.5	
	5,275,886	January 4, 1994	Chu et al	428	421	
	5,278,272	January 11, 1994	Lai et al	526	348.5	
	5,332,542	July 26, 1994	Yamanaka et al	264	509	
	5,342,868	August 30, 1994	Kimura, et al	524	108	
	5,358,792	October 25, 1994	Mehta et al	525	240	
	5,376,417	December 27, 1994	Amano et al	428	40	
	5,380,572	January 10, 1995	Kotani et al	428	40	

LPR  
4-14-7

<b>EXAMINER</b> <b>D. LAWRENCE TAPAZANO</b> <b>PRIMARY EXAMINER</b>	<b>DATE CONSIDERED</b> <b>11/25</b>
---	--

**EXAMINER:** Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

## Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.